

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,702		10/30/2001	Steven Wang	INTL-0679-US (P12997)	9571	
21906	7590	05/26/2006		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
TROP PRU		•	TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R			
	OSS ROAD, SUITE 750 N, TX 77057-2631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2141	<u>.</u>	
				DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/020,702	WANG, STEVEN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit		
	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	;	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6)-MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA- 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communion DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	March 2006.	· ·		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		, prosecution as to the meri	its is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•		
Disposition of Claims		•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 October 2001</u> is/ar		cted to by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			21(d)	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		•	` '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	, p			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	•		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	•		e.	
application from the International Bure				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eived.		
	· ·			
Attachment(s)				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi	man/ (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (F10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 6th, 2006, with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

3. The use of the trademark Bluetooth™ has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner that might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 11 uses the language, "An article comprising a medium storing instructions." The specification is silent regarding the

Art Unit: 2141

composition of the "medium." Therefore, the plain language interpretation of the claim is limited to the statutory interpretation that includes "signals" which cannot store.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 6, 7, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim contains the trademark/trade name Bluetooth™. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982).

The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to describe the characteristics of a wireless connection and, accordingly, the description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2141

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 9. Claims 1-5, 9-15, 19-27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bodenmann et al. (U.S. Patent 6,078,789).
- 10. As per claims 1, 11, and 21, Bodenmann teaches a method comprising:
 establishing a wireless link between a cableless provider and a consumer; and
 (Bodenmann, col. 4, lines 4-18)

storing information exchanged to establish said link; and using said stored information to reestablish said link (Bodenmann, col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 12).

- 11. As per claims 2, 12, and 22, Bodenmann teaches the system further including exchanging information upon the first connection between a given cableless provider and a consumer (Bodenmann, col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 12).
- 12. As per claims 3 and 13, Bodenmann teaches the system further including storing information in order to avoid the need to exchange information each time a connection is established (Bodenmann, col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 12, wherein the full PnP ID is stored for future reference).
- 13. As per claims 4 and 14, Bodenmann teaches the system further including denominating said consumer as the master device and said cableless provider as slave

device (Bodenmann, col. 6, lines 47-65, and fig. 4, where the FRAMETYPE field denotes the Master in the device relationship).

Page 5

- 14. As per claims 5, 15, and 30, Bodenmann teaches the system further including programming said consumer to always be the master device (Bodenmann, col. 6, lines 47-65, and fig. 4, see the FRAMETYPE field options).
- 15. As per claims 9, 19, and 27, Bodenmann teaches the system further including providing an indication bit that identifies the cableless provider to establish a connection (Bodenmann, col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 12; see also the SHORT_ID of col. 8, lines 32-65).
- 16. As per claims 10, 20, and 29, Bodenmann teaches the system further including providing information to a consumer from a cableless provider that indicates the type of device of the cableless provider (Bodenmann, col. 10, line 61 to col. 11, line 12; see also the SHORT_ID of col. 8, lines 32-65).
- 17. As per claim 23, Bodenmann teaches the system further wherein said device is a consumer (Bodenmann, col. 4, lines 4-18).
- 18. As per claim 24, Bodenmann teaches the system further wherein said device operates at 2.4 gigahertz at a range of approximately ten meters (Bodenmann, wherein

Art Unit: 2141

the device operates at any frequency, at col. 6, lines 9-13, and operates at ranges under 20 meters, at col. 2, lines 34-36).

- 19. As per claim 25, Bodenmann teaches the system further wherein said device does not provide for authentication (Bodenmann, col. 6, lines 20-46, wherein no authentication takes place).
- 20. As per claim 26, Law-Cannon teaches the system further wherein said device does not provide for pairing (Bodenmann, col. 6, lines 20-46, wherein no pairing takes place).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 22. Claims 6-8, 16-18, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodenmann et al. (U.S. Patent 6,078,789) and Law et al. (U.S. PGPub 2001/0056501).
- 23. As per claims 6 and 16, Bodenmann teaches the above, yet fails to specifically teach enabling a Bluetooth™ connection.

Art Unit: 2141

Law teaches the use of the Bluetooth[™] protocol in wireless consumer and provider setting (Law, paragraph 0030; see also paragraphs 0010-0012) where the device is identified through FHS packets (paragraph 0031-0032, wherein FHS packets are an essential part of the Bluetooth[™] protocol).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Bodenmann and Law to provide the Bluetooth™ functionality of Law in the system of Bodenmann, because doing so would enable wireless connections that remain active for extended periods of time using limited energy sources while at the same time providing improved network connection establishment response times (Law, paragraph 0026).

- 24. As per claims 7 and 17, Bodenmann-Law teaches the system further including enabling a Bluetooth™ connection between said consumer and said cableless provider without providing for authentication (Law, paragraph 0031-0032, where no authentication takes place).
- 25. As per claims 8 and 18, Bodenmann-Law teaches the system further including enabling a connection between the cableless provider and the consumer without providing for pairing (Law, paragraph 0031-0032, where no pairing takes place).
- 26. As per claim 28, Bodenmann teaches the above, yet fails to teach wherein said device identifies itself through an FHS packet.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,702

Art Unit: 2141

Law teaches the use of the Bluetooth[™] protocol in wireless consumer and provider setting (Law, paragraph 0030; see also paragraphs 0010-0012) where the device is identified through FHS packets (paragraph 0031-0032, wherein FHS packets are an essential part of the Bluetooth[™] protocol).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Bodenmann and Law to provide the Bluetooth™ functionality of Law in the system of Bodenmann, because doing so would enable wireless connections that remain active for extended periods of time using limited energy sources while at the same time providing improved network connection establishment response times (Law, paragraph 0026).

Conclusion

27. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2141

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm, with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas Taylor Examiner Art Unit 2141

> AUPAL DHARIA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER